

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

# **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

\* \* \*

## EXOBOX TECHNOLOGIES CORP.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ZACHARY TSAMBIS, *et al.*,

### Defendants.

2:14-cv-00501-RFB-VCF

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION FOR  
DISMISSAL OF COMPLAINT**

Before the Court is *Exobox Technologies Corp. v. Zachary Tsambis, et al.*, case number 2:14-cv-00501-RFB-VCF.

On November 14, 2017, the court held a hearing on the motion to withdraw as counsel for Plaintiff. (ECF No. 300). Shaun Irvine, CEO of Exobox Technologies Corp. and sole director, was ordered to appear in person at the hearing; yet, he failed to appear. (ECF Nos. 288 and 300). At the conclusion of the November 14th hearing, the court ordered:

1. Plaintiff's Counsel's Motion to Withdraw is GRANTED, and,
  2. Mr. Takos will have until 11/21/17 to file notice with this court that party members to this action are noticed that a pretrial conference is set for 11/28/17 at 3:00 p.m.

The Court has clear authority to dismiss the case for failure to cooperate in the progress of the litigation. "This power is necessary to prevent undue delays in the disposition of pending cases, docket congestion, and the possibility of harassment of the Defendant." *Mederios v. United States*, 621 F.2d 468, 470 (1st Cir. 1980). To be sure, "[all litigants, including *pro se*s, have an obligation to comply with court orders." *Minotti v. Lensink*, 895 F.2d 100, 103 (2d Cir. 1990)(per curiam). Thus, when they flout the obligation to comply with court orders they, like all litigants, must suffer the consequences of their non-compliance. See *McDonald v. Miegel*, 850 F.2d 121, 124 (2d Cir. 1988). Further, the Court need not

1 always exhaust every sanction short of dismissal before final action. *Edelson v. Commissioner*, 829 F.2d  
2 828, 831 (9th Cir. 1987). Such a decision lies within the discretion of this Court. *See National Hockey*  
3 *League v. Metropolitan Hockey Club, Inc.*, 427 U.S. 639, 642 (1976); *Link v. Wabash R. Co.*, 370 U.S.  
4 628 (1962) (affirming district court's dismissal under Rule 41(b) after plaintiff's attorney failed to appear  
5 at a pretrial conference).

6 At the November 14th hearing, counsel for Exobox Technologies Corp., Zachary Takos, clearly  
7 established that Mr. Irvine had notice of this Court's order and chose not to comply. (ECF No. 300). The  
8 court finds that Mr. Irvine's failure to appear at the November 14th hearing was a failure to comply with  
9 a court order, such that this case should be dismissed.

10 Accordingly, IT IS RECOMMENDED, that Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed for failure to obey  
11 the court's order and that Judgment be entered on all claims in favor of Defendants.

12 The Clerk of Court is directed to mail a copy of this order to the following address:

13  
14 Exobox Technologies Corp.  
15 c/o Shaun Irvine  
16 1770 Ximeno Ave., #104  
17 Long Beach, CA 90815

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25 **NOTICE**

26 Pursuant to Local Rules IB 3-1 and IB 3-2, a party may object to orders and reports and  
27 recommendations issued by the magistrate judge. Objections must be in writing and filed with the Clerk  
28 of the Court within fourteen days. LR IB 3-1, 3-2. The Supreme Court has held that the courts of appeal  
29 may determine that an appeal has been waived due to the failure to file objections within the specified  
30 time. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 142 (1985). This circuit has also held that (1) failure to file objections  
31 within the specified time and (2) failure to properly address and brief the objectionable issues waives the  
32 right to appeal the District Court's order and/or appeal factual issues from the order of the District Court.  
33  
34 *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991); *Britt v. Simi Valley United Sch. Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452,  
35 454 (9th Cir. 1983).

1 Pursuant to Local Special Rule 2-2, the Plaintiff must immediately file written notification with  
2 the court of any change of address. The notification must include proof of service upon each opposing  
3 party of the party's attorney. Failure to comply with this Rule may result in dismissal of the action. *See*  
4 LSR 2-2.

5 DATED this 14th day of November, 2017.

6  
7   
8 CAM FERENBACH  
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25